

Agenda

Waverley
Local Committee

Welcome to Waverley Local Committee

Your Councillors, Your Community
and the Issues that Matter to You



Venue

Location: Godalming Baptist
Church

Date: Friday, 9 May 2014

Time: 1.30 pm

**7 WAVERLEY PARKING REVIEW - RESPONSE TO FORMAL
ADVERTISEMENT**

(Pages 165 -
168)

This report sets out the response to statutory consultation for the locations included in the 2013/14 review. The Committee is asked to consider the objections, support and other comments received and agree how to proceed in each location.

ANNEX C: ADDITIONAL INFORMATION

Waverley Parking Review 2013/14 - Summary of objections – 9 MAY 2014			
Plan No.	Road/Location	Number of objections	Status
24077	Station Road and Mint Street, Godalming	2 support 1 comment	Proceed as advertised

Drawing No: 24077 Station Road and Mint Street, Godalming	
Response Type	Points raised in objection letter, online form or E-mail (extracts from more lengthy responses with personal details removed)
Support	I strongly support residents parking in Station Road and Mint Street, Godalming. This is particularly needed now that there is no contract parking in Station Road. Given the limited number of street parking spaces, I believe the proposed number of permits is too high. I would suggest one per household, and only where the house/flat does not already have off-road parking. To accommodate visitors and tradesmen, I would suggest that each participating household is given a limited number of one-day parking permits for Mint Street car park - perhaps 12 days per annum.
Support	I am writing to express my and my wife's support for the parking scheme under consultation.
Comment	It is clear that demand will far exceed on street capacity in a scheme like this. What is the difference between the scheme in Station Road and the scheme in Mint Street? I personally think the restrictions should operate 24 hours a day or at least finish at 8pm. The idea that any one house in this zone could have more than one permit is completely wrong. I think Mint Street and Mill Lane car parks already provide sufficient facility for guests, visitors and workmen parking. With space at such a premium in this zone no visitor permits should be available.
Officer Recommendation	With no objections submitted it is recommended to proceed as advertised. Regarding the permit criteria, it is recommended to leave this as the standard 'two maximum' criteria. However, the number of permits being purchased will be monitored and we will see if the residents submit any further comments about it after the scheme has been installed and settled down.

ANNEX C: ADDITIONAL INFORMATION

Waverley Parking Review 2013/14 - Summary of objections – 9 MAY 2014			
Plan No.	Road/Location	Number of objections	Status
24051	St Christopher's Green, Haslemere	1	Proceed as advertised
24054	West Street, Haslemere	4	Do not proceed

ITEM 7

Drawing No: 24051 St Christopher's Green, Haslemere	
Response Type	Points raised in objection letter, online form or E-mail (extracts from more lengthy responses with personal details removed)
Objection	My wife and I would like to strongly oppose the recommendations for changing the restrictions here. For the following reasons, 1. When we first agreed to the current restrictions i.e. timings and spaces allocated of which there should be 10, that is what our payment was agreed on. Already we lost one where a white line has been painted by the garage with no consultation 2. There is no need to allocate 2 spaces for shoppers as there is already spaces in Weyhill and half the fairground car park (4 hour restriction) if managed by wardens. I have checked recently on a daily basis and there are spaces available and it is really not a long walk 3. If you allow these spaces shoppers will think it's becoming free for all again as already we are seeing the public flouting the law and parking in our restricted areas which we have paid for. 4. Also we are being discriminated against because we live near shops and restaurants i.e. are you going to make changes to other areas away from the shops? 5. Why cannot make the other side of the green by the church, as proposed originally, the one hour parking area this would solve the problem or is that too far to walk ?
Officer Recommendation	<p>For the proposed 2 hour bay outside the Church there were no objections and it is recommended to proceed as advertised.</p> <p>For the proposed shared use bay outside the residential properties there was the 1 objection detailed above. All of the properties in St Christopher's Green were letter dropped with the proposal plans and details. To only have 1 objection suggests that the remaining residents are not opposed to this change. There is still no evidence to suggest that the bay is being fully used by permit holders during the day. It is therefore recommended to proceed as advertised.</p>

ANNEX C: ADDITIONAL INFORMATION

Drawing No: 24054 West Street, Haslemere	
Response Type	Points raised in objection letter, online form or E-mail (extracts from more lengthy responses with personal details removed)
Objection	<p>Since the residents parking scheme was introduced in early July 2013, the daily lives of residents without off street parking have been transformed for the better. The current proposal to amend the status of the spaces in West Street opposite Haslemere Fire Station is of concern to those of us who use the spaces and of equal concern to residents in the rest of Zone J, as there are implicit concerns about displacement if the current proposal is accepted.</p> <p>Taking away dropped kerbs within parking bays, there are 17 Spaces in zone J and bearing in mind that the West Street bay is often only used by 4 cars instead of 5 due to the way people park, there's really only 16 spaces in Zone J.</p> <p>According to information received there had been 19 permits issued for Zone J as of September 2013. The maths would indicate that there is now a deficit of 2/3 spaces and not every eligible house in Popes Mead or West Street has taken up the option of buying a permit (or more). Visitor permit spaces should also be taken into consideration.</p> <p>Residents have taken photos of the parking bay in West Street that have shown it to be well occupied at different times of the day. We request that the bay is left as it is.</p> <p>We also have concerns about how this limited waiting period will be enforced and that it will be open to abuse from the nearby garage.</p>
Objection	Same as above.
Objection	Same as above.
Objection	Our day to day lives have recently been transformed for the better with the introduction of the residents parking scheme and we are upset at the thought of it going back to almost as it was before. We have recently been taking photographs of the section opposite the fire station showing vehicles parking within it during the day.
Officer Recommendation	Residents' evidence seems to show that this bay is being used by permit holders during the day. There were 3 objections from Popes Mead residents and 1 objection from a resident of Chestnut Avenue. In light of these objections and the submitted photographs, it is recommended not to proceed with the proposed change.

This page is intentionally left blank